Case 2	08-cv-02582-GW -AGR Document 1 Filed	04/18/08 Page 1 of 23 Page ID #:7
2	Mark Yablonovich (SBN 186670) Marc Primo (SBN 216796) Matthew T. Theriault (SBN 244037) Lory Ishii (SBN 242243) Dina Livhits (SBN 245646) Initiative Legal Group LLP 1800 Century Park East, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 556-5637 Facsimile: (310) 861-9051 Email: MPrimo@InitiativeLegal.com Attorneys for Plaintiff SONYA WILLIAM	
11	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALII	•
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	SONYA WILLIAMS, individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  vs.  VEOLIA TRANSPORTATION SERVICES, INC., a Maryland Corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,  Defendants.	CV08-02582 GW (AGR) Case Number:  COMPLAINT FOR CLASS ACTION  (1) Violation of California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202 (Wages not Paid Upon Termination);  (2) Violation of California Labor Code § 204 (Failure to Pay Wages);  (3) Violation of California Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512(a) (Denial of Meal Periods);  (4) Violation of California Labor Code § 226.7 (Denial of Rest Periods);  (5) Violation of California Labor Code § 226(a) (Improper Wage Statements);
27 28	-1- CLASS ACTION	

#### **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all other members of the public similarly situated, alleges as follows:

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This Court has original jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331-1332. The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367. Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that the aggregate amount in controversy for this class action exceeds five million dollars (\$5,000,000.00) exclusive of interest and costs, that the class is greater than one-hundred (100) members, and that any one plaintiff is a citizen of a state different from that of any defendant. See Class Action Fairness Act ("CAFA"), Pub. L. 109-2, 119 Stat. 4 (2005).
- 2. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) and (b) because Defendants maintain offices, have agents and are licensed to and do transact business in this district.

#### THE PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff SONYA WILLIAMS (hereinafter "Plaintiff") is a resident of Los Angeles County in the State of California.
- 4. Defendant VEOLIA TRANSPORTATION SERVICES, INC. was and is, upon information and belief, a Maryland corporation doing business, and at all times hereinafter mentioned, an employer whose employees are engaged throughout this county, the State of California, or the various states of the United States of America.
- 5. Plaintiff is unaware of the true names or capacities of the Defendants sued herein under the fictitious names DOES 1-10, but prays for leave to amend and serve such fictitiously named Defendants once their names and capacities become known.

- 6. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that DOES 1-10 are the partners, agents, owners, shareholders, managers or employees of VEOLIA TRANSPORTATION SERVICES, INC., and were acting on behalf of VEOLIA TRANSPORTATION SERVICES, INC.
- 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each and all of the acts and omissions alleged herein was performed by, or is attributable to, VEOLIA TRANSPORTATION SERVICES, INC. and DOES 1-10 (collectively "Defendants"), each acting as the agent for the other, with legal authority to act on the other's behalf. The acts of any and all Defendants were in accordance with, and represent the official policy of, Defendants.
- 8. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants, and each of them, ratified each and every act or omission complained of herein. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants, and each of them, aided and abetted the acts and omissions of each and all the other Defendants in proximately causing the damages herein alleged.
- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of said Defendants is in some manner intentionally, negligently, or otherwise responsible for the acts, omissions, occurrences, and transactions alleged herein.

#### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

- 10. Plaintiff brings this action on her own behalf, as well as on behalf of each and all other persons similarly situated, and thus, seeks class certification under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a), (b)(2), and (b)(3).
- 11. All claims alleged herein arise under California law for which Plaintiff seeks relief authorized by California law.
  - 12. The proposed class consists of and is defined as:
    All non-exempt or hourly paid employees who have been employed by
    Defendants in the State of California within four years prior to the filing of this complaint until resolution of this lawsuit.
  - 13. There is a well defined community of interest in the litigation and the class

7

8

10

11 12

13

16

20

21 22

24 25

26

27 28 is easily ascertainable:

- Numerosity: The members of the class (and each subclass, if any) are a. so numerous that joinder of all members would be unfeasible and impractical. The membership of the entire class is unknown to Plaintiff at this time, however, the class is estimated to be greater than one-hundred (100) individuals and the identity of such membership is readily ascertainable by inspection of Defendants' employment records.
- Typicality: Plaintiff is qualified to, and will, fairly and adequately b. protect the interests of each class member with whom she has a well defined community of interest, and Plaintiff's claims (or defenses, if any) are typical of all class members' as demonstrated herein.
- Adequacy: Plaintiff is qualified to, and will, fairly and adequately, protect the interests of each class member with whom she has a well-defined community of interest and typicality of claims, as alleged herein. Plaintiff acknowledges that she has an obligation to make known to the Court any relationship, conflicts, or differences with any class member. Plaintiff's attorneys and the proposed class counsel are versed in the rules governing class action discovery, certification, and settlement. Plaintiff has incurred, and throughout the duration of this action will continue to incur costs and attorneys' fees that have been, are, and will be necessarily expended for the prosecution of this action for the substantial benefit of each class member.
- d. Superiority: The nature of this action makes the use of class action adjudication superior to other methods. Class action will achieve economies of time, effort and expense as compared with separate lawsuits, and will avoid inconsistent outcomes because the same issues can be adjudicated in the same manner and at the same time for the entire class.
- Public Policy Considerations: Employers of the State violate employment and labor laws every day. Current employees are often afraid to assert

7

14.

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

21

20

22

23 24

25

27

26

28 //

11

- their rights out of fear of direct or indirect retaliation. Former employees are fearful of bringing actions because they believe their former employers may damage their future endeavors through negative references and/or other means. Class actions provide the class members who are not named in the complaint with a type of anonymity that allows for the vindication of their rights at the same time as their privacy is protected.
- subclass, if any) that predominate over questions affecting only individual members, including but not limited to:

There are common questions of law and fact as to the class (and each

- a. Whether Defendants failed to promptly pay all wages due to Plaintiff and the other class members upon their discharge or resignation;
- b. Whether Defendants' failure to pay wages, without abatement or reduction, in accordance with the California Labor Code, was willful;
- c. Whether Defendants deprived Plaintiff and the other class members of meal periods or required Plaintiff and the class members to work during meal periods without compensation;
- d. Whether Defendants deprived Plaintiff and the other class members of rest periods or required Plaintiff and the class members to work during rest periods without compensation;
- e. Whether Defendants complied with wage reporting as required by the California Labor Code; including but not limited to § 226;
- f. Whether Defendants' conduct was willful or reckless;
- g. Whether Defendants engaged in unfair business practices in violation of California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, et seq.; and
- h. The appropriate amount of damages, restitution, or monetary penalties resulting from Defendants' violations of California law.

### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

2

15. At all times set forth, Defendants employed Plaintiff and other persons as non-exempt or hourly paid employees.

4

16. Defendants employed Plaintiff as a Bus Driver, which is a non-exempt or hourly paid position, from on or about March 2007 to on or about November 2007,

6

at the Los Angeles County, California business location.

7

17. Defendants continue to employ non-exempt or hourly paid employees within California.

9

18. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that at all times

10

herein mentioned, Defendants were advised by skilled lawyers and other

11

professionals, employees, and advisors knowledgeable about California and federal

12

labor and wage law and employment and personnel practices, and about the

13

requirements of California and federal law.

14

knew or should have known that Plaintiff and other class members were entitled to

Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that Defendants

Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that Defendants

16

receive all wages owed to them upon discharge.

17

20.

knew or should have known that Plaintiff and other class members were entitled to

18 19

receive all meal periods or payment of one additional hour of pay at Plaintiff's and

20

class members' regular rate of pay when a meal period was missed.

21

21. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that Defendants

22

knew or should have known that Plaintiff and other class members were entitled to receive all rest periods or payment of one additional hour of pay at Plaintiff's and

24

class members' regular rate of pay when a rest period was missed.

25

22. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that Defendants knew or should have known that Plaintiff and other class members were entitled to

26

receive complete and accurate wage statements in accordance with California law.

27

28

23. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that at all times

herein mentioned, Defendants knew or should have known that they had a duty to compensate Plaintiff and other class members, and that Defendants had the financial ability to pay such compensation, but willfully, knowingly, and intentionally failed to do so, and falsely represented to Plaintiff and other class members that they were properly denied wages, all in order to increase Defendants' profits.

24. <u>California Labor Code</u> § 218 states that nothing in Article 1 of the Labor Code shall limit the right of any wage claimant to "sue directly . . . for any wages or penalty due him [or her] under this article."

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

# Violation of California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202

- 25. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein the material allegations set out in paragraphs 1 through 24.
- 26. At all times herein set forth, <u>California Labor Code</u> §§ 201 and 202 provide that if an employer discharges an employee, the wages earned and unpaid at the time of discharge are due and payable immediately, and that if an employee voluntarily leaves his or her employment, his or her wages shall become due and payable not later than seventy-two (72) hours thereafter, unless the employee has given seventy-two (72) hours previous notice of his or her intention to quit, in which case the employee is entitled to his or her wages at the time of quitting.
- 27. During the relevant time period, Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiff and the other class members who are no longer employed by Defendants their wages, earned and unpaid, either at the time of discharge, or within seventy-two (72) hours of their leaving Defendants' employ.
- 28. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and those class members who are no longer employed by Defendants their wages earned and unpaid at the time of discharge, or within seventy-two (72) hours of their leaving Defendants' employ, is in violation of California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202.

#### 

- 29. <u>California Labor Code</u> § 203 provides that if an employer wilfully fails to pay wages owed, in accordance with §§ 201 and 202, then the wages of the employee shall continue as a penalty from the due date, and at the same rate until paid or until an action is commenced; but the wages shall not continue for more than thirty (30) days.
- 30. Plaintiff and the other class members are entitled to recover from Defendants the statutory penalty for each day they were not paid, at their regular hourly rate of pay, up to a thirty (30) day maximum pursuant to <u>California Labor</u> Code § 203.

#### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

## Violation of California Labor Code § 204

- 31. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein the material allegations set out in paragraphs 1 through 30.
- 32. <u>California Labor Code</u> § 204 provides that all wages earned by any person in any employment between the 1st and the 15th days, inclusive, of any calendar month, other than those wages due upon termination of an employee, are due and payable between the 16th and the 26th day of the month during which the labor was performed.
- 33. <u>California Labor Code</u> § 204 provides that all wages earned by any person in any employment between the 16th and the last day, inclusive, of any calendar month, other than those wages due upon termination of an employee, are due and payable between the 1st and the 10th day of the following month.
- 34. <u>California Labor Code</u> § 204 provides that all wages earned for labor in excess of the normal work period shall be paid no later than the payday for the next regular payroll period.
- 35. During the relevant time period, Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiff and the other class members all wages due to them, within any time period

11

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

25

26

27

28

permissible by California Labor Code § 204.

Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendants are guilty of oppression, 36. fraud, or malice, thereby warranting an award of punitive damages against Defendants for the sake of example, and to punish Defendants and deter others from engaging in similar misconduct.

#### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

# Violation of California Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512(a)

- 37. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein the material allegations set out in paragraphs 1 through 36.
- 38. At all times herein set forth, the California Industrial Welfare Commission Order and California Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512(a) were applicable to Plaintiff's and the other class members' employment by Defendants.
- 39. At all times herein set forth, California Labor Code § 226.7 provides that no employer shall require an employee to work during any meal period mandated by an applicable order of the California Industrial Welfare Commission.
- At all times herein set forth, California Labor Code § 512(a) provides that 40. an employer may not require, cause or permit an employee to work for a period of more than five (5) hours per day without providing the employee with an uninterrupted meal period of not less than thirty (30) minutes, except that if the total work period per day of the employee is not more than six (6) hours, the meal period may be waived by mutual consent of both the employer and the employee.
- At all times herein set forth, California Labor Code § 512(a) further 41. provides that an employer may not require, cause or permit an employee to work for a period of more than ten (10) hours per day without providing the employee with a second uninterrupted meal period of not less than thirty (30) minutes, except that if the total hours worked is no more than twelve (12) hours, the second meal period may be waived by mutual consent of the employer and the employee only if the first

12 13

14

16

15

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

meal period was not waived.

- 42. During the relevant time period, Plaintiff and the other members of the class who were scheduled to work for a period of time no longer than six (6) hours, and who did not waive their legally-mandated meal periods by mutual consent, were required to work for periods longer than five (5) hours without an uninterrupted meal period of not less than thirty (30) minutes.
- During the relevant time period, Plaintiff and the other class members who 43. were scheduled to work for a period of time in excess of six (6) hours were required to work for periods longer than five (5) hours without an uninterrupted meal period of not less than thirty (30) minutes.
- 44. During the relevant time period, Plaintiff and other members of the class who were scheduled to work in excess of ten (10) hours but not longer than twelve (12) hours, and who did not waive their legally-mandated meal periods by mutual consent were required to work in excess of ten (10) hours without receiving a second uninterrupted meal period of not less than thirty (30) minutes.
- During the relevant time period, Plaintiff and the other class members who 45. were scheduled to work for a period of time in excess of twelve (12) hours were required to work for periods longer than ten (10) hours without a second uninterrupted meal period of not less than thirty (30) minutes.
- 46. During the relevant time period, Defendants willfully required Plaintiff and other members of the class to work during meal periods and failed to compensate Plaintiff and members of the class for work performed during meal periods.
- 47. Defendants' conduct violates applicable Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders, and California Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512(a).
- Pursuant to California Labor Code § 226.7(b), Plaintiff and other members 48. of the class are entitled to recover from Defendants one additional hour of pay at the employees' regular hourly rate of compensation for each work day that the meal

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

13 14

16 17

19

20 21

22

23

25

26

27

28

period was not provided.

Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendants are guilty of oppression, 49. fraud, or malice, thereby warranting an award of punitive damages against Defendants for the sake of example, and to punish Defendants and deter others from engaging in similar misconduct.

#### FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

### Violation of California Labor Code § 226.7

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein 50. the material allegations set out in paragraphs 1 through 49.
- At all times herein set forth, the California Industrial Welfare Commission 51. Order and California Labor Code § 226.7 was applicable to Plaintiff's and other class members' employment by Defendants.
- At all times herein set forth, California Labor Code § 226.7 provides that 52. no employer shall require an employee to work during any rest period mandated by an applicable order of the California Industrial Welfare Commission.
- 53. During the relevant time period, Defendants required Plaintiff and other members of the class to work in excess of four (4) hours without providing a ten (10) minute rest period.
- During the relevant time period, Defendants required Plaintiff and other members of the class to work an additional four (4) hours without providing a second ten (10) minute rest period.
- 55. During the relevant time period, Defendants willfully required Plaintiff and other members of the class to work during rest periods and failed to compensate Plaintiff and members of the class for work performed during rest periods.
- Defendants' conduct violates applicable Industrial Welfare Commission 56. Wage Orders, and California Labor Code § 226.7.
  - Pursuant to California Labor Code § 226.7(b), Plaintiff and other members 57.

of the class are entitled to recover from Defendants one additional hour of pay at the employee's regular hourly rate of compensation for each work day that the rest period was not provided.

58. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendants are guilty of oppression, fraud, or malice, thereby warranting an award of punitive damages against Defendants for the sake of example, and to punish Defendants and deter others from engaging in similar misconduct.

### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### Violation of California Labor Code § 226(a)

- 59. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein the material allegations set out in paragraphs 1 through 58.
- 60. Defendants have intentionally and willfully failed to provide employees with complete and accurate wage statements that include, among other things, the total actual number of hours worked by Plaintiff and other class members, and failure to include the full name of the legal entity that is the employer.
- 61. As a result of Defendants' violation of California <u>Labor Code</u> § 226(a), Plaintiff and the other class members have suffered injury and damage to their statutorily-protected rights.
- 62. Specifically, Plaintiff and the other class members have been injured by Defendants' intentional violation of <u>California Labor Code</u> § 226(a) because they were denied both their legal right to receive, and their protected interest in receiving, accurate, itemized wage statements under § 226(a).
- 63. Plaintiff and the other class members are entitled to recover from Defendants the greater of their actual damages caused by Defendants' failure to comply with <u>California Labor Code</u> § 226(a), or an aggregate penalty not exceeding four thousand dollars per employee.
  - 64. Plaintiff and the other class members are also entitled to an award of costs

and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to California Labor Code § 226(e).

65. Plaintiff and the other class members are also entitled to injunctive relief to ensure compliance with this section, pursuant to <u>California Labor Code</u> § 226(g).

#### **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

# Violation of <u>California Business & Professions Code</u> §§ 17200, <u>et seq.</u> (Against all Defendants)

- 66. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein the material allegations set out in paragraphs 1 through 65.
- 67. Defendants' conduct, as alleged herein, has been, and continues to be, unfair, unlawful, and harmful to Plaintiff, the other class members, and to the general public. Plaintiff seeks to enforce important rights affecting the public interest within the meaning of <u>Code of Civil Procedure</u> § 1021.5.
- 68. Defendants' activities as alleged herein are violations of California law, and constitute unlawful business acts and practices in violation of <u>California</u>

  <u>Business & Professions Code</u> §§ 17200, et seq.
- 69. A violation of <u>California Business & Professions Code</u> §§ 17200, <u>et seq.</u> may be predicated on the violation of any state or federal law. In the instant case, Defendants' policy and practice of requiring non-exempt or hourly employees, including Plaintiff and class members, to work through their meal and rest periods without paying them proper compensation violates <u>California Labor Code</u> §§ 226.7 and 512(a). Furthermore, during the relevant time period, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and the other class members the all wages due to them, within any time period permissible by <u>California Labor Code</u> §§ 201 through 204.
- 70. Plaintiff and the putative class members have been personally aggrieved by Defendants' unlawful business acts and practices as alleged herein, including but not necessarily limited to by the loss of money or property.
- 71. Pursuant to <u>California Business & Professions Code</u> §§ 17200, <u>et seq.</u>, Plaintiff and the putative class members are entitled to restitution of the wages

1	withheld and retained by Defendants during a period that commences four years		
2	prior to the filing of this complaint; a permanent injunction requiring Defendants to		
3	pay all outstanding wages due to Plaintiff and class members; an award of attorney		
4	fees pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 and other applicable		
5	laws; and an award of costs.		
6	REQUEST FOR JURY TRIAL		
7	Plaintiff requests a trial by jury.		
8	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
9	Plaintiff, and on behalf of all others similarly situated, prays for relief and		
10	judgment against Defendants, jointly and severally, as follows:		
11	<u>Class Certification</u>		
12	1. That this action be certified as a class action;		
13	2. That Plaintiff be appointed as the representative of the Class; and		
14	3. That counsel for Plaintiff be appointed as Class Counsel.		
15	As to the First Cause of Action		
16	4. For all actual, consequential and incidental losses and damages, according		
17	to proof;		
18	5. For statutory penalties pursuant to California Labor Code § 203 for		
19	Plaintiff and all other class members who have left Defendants' employ;		
20	6. For reasonable attorneys' fees and for costs of suit incurred herein; and		
21	7. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and		
22	appropriate.		
23	As to the Second Cause of Action		
24	8. For all actual, consequential and incidental losses and damages, according		
25	to proof;		
26	9. For statutory penalties pursuant to California Labor Code § 204 for		
27	Plaintiff and all other class members;		
28	10. For pre-judgment interest on any untimely paid compensation, from the		

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1	date such	amounts were due;
2	11.	For punitive and/or exemplary damages according to proof at trial;
3	12.	For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein; and
4	13.	For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and
5	appropria	ate.
6		As to the Third Cause of Action
7	14.	For all actual, consequential, and incidental losses and damages, according
8	to proof;	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
9	15.	For wages pursuant to California Labor Code § 226.7(b);
10	16.	For punitive and/or exemplary damages according to proof at trial;
11	17.	For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein; and
12	18.	For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and
13	appropria	ate.
14		As to the Fourth Cause of Action
15	19.	For all actual, consequential, and incidental losses and damages, according
16	to proof;	
17	20.	For wages pursuant to California Labor Code § 226.7(b);
18	21.	For punitive and/or exemplary damages according to proof at trial;
19	22.	For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein; and
20	23.	For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and
21	appropria	ate.
22		As to the Fifth Cause of Action
23	24.	For all actual, consequential and incidental losses and damages, according
24	to proof;	
25	25.	For statutory penalties pursuant to California Labor Code § 226(e);
26	26.	For injunctive relief to ensure compliance with this section, pursuant to
27	Californi	a Labor Code § 226(g);
28	27.	For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein pursuant to

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1	Cantornia Labor Code § 220(e); and		
2	28. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and		
3	appropriate.		
4	As to the Sixth Cause of Action		
5	29. For restitution of unpaid wages to Plaintiff and all class members and		
6	prejudgment interest from the day such amounts were due and payable;		
7	30. For the appointment of a receiver to receive, manage and distribute any		
8	and all funds disgorged from Defendants and determined to have been wrongfully		
9	acquired by Defendants as a result of violations of California Business &		
10	Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq.;		
11	31. For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein that		
12	Plaintiff and other class members are entitled to recover under California Code of		
13	Civil Procedure § 1021.5;		
14	32. For injunctive relief to ensure compliance with this section, pursuant to		
15	California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq.; and		
16	33. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and		
17	appropriate.		
18			
19	Dated: April 10, 2008 Respectfully submitted,		
20	Initiative Legal Group LLP		
21	1/10-10-11		
22	By: <u>////////////////////////////////////</u>		
23	Marc Primo		
24	Matthew T. Theriault Lory Ishii		
25	Dina Livhits		
26	Attorneys for Plaintiff and for Class Members		
27	and for Class Melliners		
28			

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial as provided by Rule 38(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Dated: April 10, 2008 Respectfully submitted, Initiative Legal Group LLP -Bv: Mark Yablonovich Marc Primo Matthew T. Theriault Lory Ishii Dina Livhits Attorneys for Plaintiff and for Class Members 

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

#### NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assi	gned to District Judge George H.	Wu and the assigned	discovery
Magistrate Judge is Alicia G.	Rosenberg.		

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV08- 2582 GW (AGRx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge
=======================================
NOTICE TO COUNSEL
A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).
Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

Southern Division

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053

Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

☐ Eastern Division

3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134

Riverside, CA 92501

[X] Western Division

312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8

Los Angeles, CA 90012

Mark Yablonovich, Esq. (SBN 186670)	
Marc Primo, Esq. (SBN 216796)	
Matthew T. Theriault, Esq. (SBN 244037)	
Initiative Legal Group LLP	
2	
1800 Century Park East, 2nd Flr., LA, CA 90067	
(See attached ATTACHMENT TO SUMMONS)	
UNITED STATES I CENTRAL DISTRIC	DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
SONYA WILLIAMS, et al.	CASE NUMBER
(See attached ATTACHMENT TO SUMMONS)	
PLAINTIFF(S)	CV08-02582 GW (AGRx)
VEOLIA TRANSPORTATION SERVICES, INC.,	
a Maryland Corporation; and DOES 1 through 10,	
inclusive	
İ	SUMMONS
DEFENDANT(S).	
1	
	<del></del>
TO DEFEND ANTICO	
TO: DEFENDANT(S):	<del></del>
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached   □ counterclaim □ cross-claim or a motion under Rule 1	2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer tiative Legal Group LLP , whose address is praia 90067 . If you fail to do so,
	Clerk, U.S. District Court
	CIOIN, C.S. DISTRICT COURT
Dated: APR 1 8 2008	NATALIE LONGORA ( A T )
Dated: APR 1 8 2008	By: NATALIE LONGORIA
	Deputy Clerk
	(Seal of the Court) 1100
	(Seat of the Court) 1198
[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].	s agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed
CV-01A (12/07) SUMN	MONS

**ATTACHMENT TO SUMMONS** 2 ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS AND CLASS MEMBERS: Mark Yablonovich (SBN 186670) 5 | Marc Primo (SBN 216796) Matthew T. Theriault (SBN 244037) Lory Ishii (SBN 242243) Dina Livhits (SBN 245646) Initiative Legal Group LLP 1800 Century Park East, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 556-5637 Facsimile: (310) 861-9051 Email: MPrimo@InitiativeLegal.com 11 12 **PLAINTIFFS:** 13 SONYA WILLIAMS, individually, and on behalf of other members of the general 14 public similarly situated. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

ATTACHMENT TO SUMMONS

# Case 2:08-cv-025827ED/STATES DISTRICTEDURT, EINTRAL/DISTRICT OF ALIFORNIA Page ID #:27 CIVIL COVER SHEET

<del></del>					
I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself □)  DEFENDANTS					
SONYA WILLIAMS, in the general public similar	ndividually, and on behalf of o orly situated	ther members of	VEOLIA TRANSPORTATION SERVICES, INC., a Maryland Corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,		
(b) County of Residence of First Los Angeles County, Ca	Listed Plaintiff (Except in U.S. Plaifornia	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (In U.S. Plaintiff Cases Only):			
yourself, provide same.) Initiative Legal Group, 1 1800 Century Park East	lress and Telephone Number. If yo LLP Telephone: 310-5 , 2nd Floor Los Angeles, CA MENT TO CIVIL COVER SI	Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION	(Place an X in one box only.)		NSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PAR		Only
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of Thi	is State PT		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	√4 Diversity (Indicate Citizer of Parties in Item III)			of Business in Ar	
IV ODICIN (Diagram V in	how only	Citizen or Sul	bject of a Foreign Country	3 □ 3 Foreign Nation	□6 □
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one  IV 1 Original □ 2 Remover  Proceeding State Co	d from □ 3 Remanded from □	3 4 Reinstated or E Reopened	☐ 5 Transferred from another d	istrict (specify): 6 Mult Distr Litig	ict Judge from
V. REQUESTED IN COMPLA	AINT: JURY DEMAND: 🗹 Y	es 🗆 No (Check 'Y	Yes' only if demanded in comp	aint.)	
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.	P. 23: 😿 Yes □ No		MONEY DEMANDED IN	COMPLAINT: § Exceeds	5 5 million dollars
□ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities /Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Act □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Info. Act □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	□ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of     Overpayment &     Enforcement of     Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted     Student Loan (Excl.     Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of     Overpayment of     Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product     Liability □ 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INIU  310 Airplane  315 Airplane Pro Liability  320 Assault, Libe Slander  330 Fed. Employ Liability  340 Marine  345 Marine Prod Liability  350 Motor Vehic Product Liab  360 Other Person Injury  362 Personal Injury  365 Personal Injury  365 Personal Injury  368 Asbestos Per Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Per Injury Product Liability	duct   370 Other Fraud   371 Truth in Lendin   380 Other Personal   Property Dama;   Product Liability   BANKRUPTCY   422 Appeal 28 USC 158   423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157   CIVIL RIGHTS   441 Voting   442 Employment   443 Housing/Accommodations   444 Welfare   445 American with Disabilities - Employment   446 American with Disabilities - Other   440 Other Civil   Rights	530 General   535 Death Penalty   540 Mandamus/ Other   550 Civil Rights   555 Prison Condition   FORFELTURE   610 Agriculture   620 Other Food & Drug   625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881   630 Liquor Laws   640 R.R. & Truck   650 Airline Regs   660 Occupational Safety /Health   690 Other	TABOR  ☐ 710 Fair Labor Stande Act ☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations ☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act ☐ 740 Railway Labor A ☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation ☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act ☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark ☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plain or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS-Third Party USC 7609
If yes, list case number(s):	F				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Case Number:			·	<u></u>
CV-71 (07/05)		CIVIL CO	OVER SHEET		Page

# Case 2:08-cv-02582-GW -AGR DISTRICT COURT, FILED 04/18/08 Page 22 of 23 Page ID #:28

#### AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

VIII(b). RELATED CASES	S: Have any cases been prev	iously filed that are related to the present case? 🖬 No 🗆 Yes		
If yes, list case number(s):				
Civil cases are deemed related if a previously filed case and the present case:  (Check all boxes that apply)  A. Arise from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events, or  B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or  C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or  D. Involve the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.				
IX. VENUE: List the Califord Check here if the U.S. gov Los Angeles County, €	ernment, its agencies or emp	than California, in which EACH named plaintiff resides (Use an additional sheet if necessary) sloyees is a named plaintiff.		
	•	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
☐ Check here if the U.S. go	vernment, its agencies or em	ia, in which EACH named defendant resides. (Use an additional sheet if necessary). aployees is a named defendant.  NC., a Maryland Corporation		
Note: In land condemnation				
X. SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY (OR PRO PER): _	Date April 18, 2008		
or other papers as requi	red by law. This form, appro	vil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings oved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not rpose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions		
Key to Statistical codes relat	ing to Social Security Cases:			
Nature of Su	it Code Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action		
861	ніа	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))		
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)		
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))		
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))		
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.		
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))		

# ATTACHMENT TO CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET 1 2 ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS AND CLASS MEMBERS: 4 Mark Yablonovich (SBN 186670) Marc Primo (SBN 216796) Matthew T. Theriault (SBN 244037) Lory Ishii (SBN 242243) Dina Livhits (SBN 245646) Initiative Legal Group LLP 1800 Century Park East, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 556-5637 Facsimile: (310) 861-9051 Email: MPrimo@InitiativeLegal.com 11 12 **PLAINTIFFS:** 13 SONYA WILLIAMS, individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 ATTACHMENT TO SUMMONS